

### **AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS**

The attached "Replacement Sheet" of drawings includes changes to Figure 9A-1. The attached "Replacement Sheet," which includes Figures 9A-1, 9A-2, 9B, 9C, 9D and 10, replaces the original sheet including Figures 9A-1, 9A-2, 9B, 9C, 9D and 10.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet

### **REMARKS**

Claims 19-25 are now pending in the application. Claims 1-18 were cancelled without prejudice regarding their subject matter. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejections in view of the remarks contained herein.

### **DOUBLE PATENTING**

Claims 1-18 stand rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,689,134.

Claims 1-18 were cancelled without prejudice regarding their subject matter. This rejection is now moot.

### **DRAWINGS**

The drawings stand objected to for certain informalities. Applicants have attached revised drawings for the Examiner's approval. In the "Replacement Sheet", FIG. 9A-1 was revised per the Examiner's recommendations. More particularly, reference characters 900, 904, 906, 908 were added to FIG. 9A-1. Support for the changes to the drawings can be found in the application as originally filed. Additionally, Claims 1-18 were cancelled without prejudice regarding their subject matter. Therefore, the objection to the drawings is now moot.

### **REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102**

Claims 1-7 and 10-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Luhr et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,129,903).

Claims 1-18 were cancelled without prejudice regarding their subject matter.  
This rejection is now moot.

#### **NEW CLAIMS**


Claims 19-25 are new and fully supported by the specification and drawings as originally filed. Applicants respectfully submit that claims 19-25 are in condition for allowance.

#### **CONCLUSION**

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 20, 2006

By:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen T. Olson, Reg. No. 36,626  
Maria Comninou, Reg. No. 44,626

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. Box 828  
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303  
(248) 641-1600

STO/MAC/jb